

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United	States Patent and Trademark Office	
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
	P.O. Box 1450	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
	www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,492	08/06/2003	Dan Settanni	H-552	8669
41245 75	590 08/31/2004		EXAM	IINER
	7 & ASSOCIATES, I DING, SUITE 902	PLLC	MEISLIN, DEBRA S	
19 CHENANG	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BINGHAMTO	N, NY 13901		3723	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
Office Action Summary		10/634,492	SETTANNI, DAN				
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
		Debra S Meislin	3723				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ac	dress			
Period fo	• •						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a popeniod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however reply within the statutory minim riod will apply and will expire SI) atute, cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ily. communication.			
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.					
3)□	'						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application						
€ \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from considerat	on.				
•=	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
′=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirem	ent.	:			
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		cted to by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U	I.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	anta haya haan raasiy	ad				
	 Certified copies of the priority docum Certified copies of the priority docum 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			l Stage			
	application from the International Bur	·		, 0. 090			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a		••				
Attachmen							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		terview Summary (PTO-413) uper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/6/03</u> .	/08) 5) 🔲 No	otice of Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/634,492

Art Unit: 3723

1. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 3 and 6, "said handle" lacks antecedent basis. As best understood, "said handle" should be --said portion of said handle--.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fisher.

Note the first socket element (2, 3,10), the second socket element (8), and handle (1).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisher in view of Scull.

Fisher discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for having square hole in the handle. Scull discloses a square hole in the handle. It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/634,492

Art Unit: 3723

one having ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Fisher with a square hole to enable the engagement of a wrench to provide torque thereto as taught by Scull.

6. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisher in view of Scott.

Fisher discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for having an elongate arm. Scott discloses a first socket element (8), a second socket element (1), and an elongate arm extending from the first socket element and having plural openings (9) therein. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the adjusting elements of the device of Fisher as an arm extending from the first socket element and having openings therein to allow for the adjustability thereof as taught by Scott.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisher in view of Scott as applied above, in further view of Scull.

Scull discloses a square hole in the handle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Fisher with a square hole to enable the engagement of a wrench to provide torque thereto as taught by Scull.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra S Meislin whose telephone number is 703 308-3671. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,492

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Debra S Meislin Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

August 30, 2004